

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/832,870	04/12/2001	Bok Hyun Pack	LT-002	1379	
34610	7590 01/11/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP			FISH, JAMIESON W		
P.O. BOX 221200 CHANTILLY, VA 20153			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2617		
			DATE MAILED: 01/11/2000	· 5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/832,870	PACK ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jamieson W. Fish	2617				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 C	October 2005					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		s action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		secution as to the merits is				
/—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-64</u> is/are pending in the application.						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
-	⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-64</u> is/are rejected.						
7)							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08-05-2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
			•				
		•					
Attachmen		_	·.·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims **21-65** have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 21-39, 61-62 and 65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kikinis (US 5,929,849) in view of Maa (US 5,818,935).

1. Regarding claim 21, Kikinis teaches a method for displaying visual information, comprising: receiving a digital signal including channel data and product information containing at least one URL (See Fig. 2C, Fig. 3A, Abstract, Col. 3 lines 10-23, and Col. 6 lines 50-63, Col. 9 lines 24-67, Col. 10 lines 1-17); controlling a screen to simultaneously display the channel data and URL (See Fig. 2C, Abstract, Col. 3 lines 10-23, Col. 8 lines 65-67, Col. 9 lines 1-3); receiving a user-initiated signal indicating selection of the URL (See Abstract, Fig. 3A Step 101, Col. 9 lines 24-67, Col. 10 lines 1-17); and accessing information from a network address corresponding to the URL, wherein the URL corresponds to a product appearing in the displayed channel data (See Abstract, Fig. 3A Step 111 Col. 3 lines 10-23, Col. 9 lines 24-67, Col. 10 lines 1-17). Kikinis fails to disclose the URL and either an icon image or a still image being displayed in a window in response to activation of a web-surfing button. However, in a similar endeavor, Maa teaches where a table displaying URLs and text descriptions of

URLs are displayed in response to the user pressing a button (See Fig. 3 and Col. 6 lines 7-52). Thus, in view of the teaches of Maa, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time the invention was made to modify Kikinis to have a URL and information relating to the URL being displayed in a window in response to activation of a web-surfing button so that a user could access URLs without typing the address (See Maa Col. 7 lines 43-50). Kikinis modified with Maa above differs from the claimed invention in that text descriptions are displayed in Maa's window and not images. However, Kikinis also teaches using icons to represent information that can be associated with a URL (See Fig. 2A 57 BMW emblem and Col. 7 lines 48-56). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to display a URL and either an icon image or a still image being in a window since icons can be identified easily be users.

2. Regarding claim 22, Kikinis modified with Maa teaches wherein the product information is received in a packet which includes: a payload including the URL; and a header containing an identifier which indicates that the packet is carrying product information (See Kikinis Col. 5 lines 42-55, Col. 7 lines 18-27, Col. 10 lines 33-38 Product information is recorded in a separate data region of the transport stream from video data. In an MPEG-2 transport stream these separate data regions would be different types of streams. Streams are made up of packets. A payload including the URL and a header containing an identifier (PID) would be inherent to an MPEG-2 packet containing URL information).

Application/Control Number: 09/832,870

Art Unit: 2617

3. Regarding claim **23**, Kikinis modified with Maa teaches wherein the digital signal includes a time code for synchronizing display of the URL with the channel data (See Kikinis Col. 9 lines 29-36 Tags linking URLs to images are a time code).

Page 4

- 4. Regarding claim **24**, Kikinis modified with Maa teaches wherein the product information is included in a service information field of the digital signal (See Kikinis Col. 5 lines 42-55, Col. 7 lines 18-27, Col. 10 lines 33-38 In an MPEG2 transport stream a separate data region would be a service information field).
- 5. Regarding claim **25**, Kikinis modified with Maa further teaches storing the product information in a memory separate from the channel data (See Kikinis Col. 9 lines 29-63).
- 6. Regarding claim **26**, Kikinis modified with Maa teaches controlling the screen to simultaneously display the URL and the still image of the channel data in the window (See Discussion of claim 21).
- 7. Regarding claim **27**, Kikinis modified with Maa teaches wherein the URL is displayed in association with a pointer which points to a product shown as the still image (See Kikinis Fig. 2C Cursor 70 Col. 8 lines 1-22 The cursor is a pointer which the user uses to point to a product shown in the still frame).
- 8. Regarding claim **28**, Kikinis modified with Maa teaches wherein the URL is displayed in association with a product which is highlighted as the still image (See Kikinis Col. 5 lines 18-27).

- 9. Regarding claim **29**, Kikinis modified with Maa teaches wherein controlling includes: controlling the screen to display the image with the URL and channel data (See Kikinis Fig. 2A 57 BMW emblem and Col. 7 lines 48-56).
- 10. Regarding claim **30**, Kikinis modified with Maa teaches wherein the image bears a resemblance to a product associated with the URL (See Kikinis Fig. 2A 57 BMW emblem and Col. 7 lines 48-56).
- 11. Regarding claim **31**, Kikinis modified with Maa teaches wherein the image includes an icon associated with the product (See Kikinis Fig. 2A 57 BMW emblem and Col. 7 lines 48-56).
- 12. Regarding claim **32**, Kikinis modified with Maa teaches wherein controlling includes controlling the screen to simultaneously display a plurality of URLs and a plurality of either icon images or still images in the window, wherein each of the URLs and each of the icon images or still images corresponds to a different product appearing in the displayed channel data (See Discussion of claim 21 and Kikinis Col. 6 lines 50-63, Col. 7 lines 10-17, Col. 9 lines 29-36).
- 13. Regarding claim **33**, Kikinis modified with Maa teaches wherein the URLs are displayed in a scrollable list over the channel data (See Kikinis Fig. 2C Window 71 Col. 8 lines 1-22).
- 14. Regarding claim **34**, Kikinis modified with Maa teaches wherein the product information includes a product code which is displayed in association with the URL and channel data (See Kikinis Fig. 2C Emblem 57 and Col. 6 lines 50-63 An emblem is a product code: a symbol that represents a product).

- 15. Regarding claim **35**, Kikinis modified with Maa teaches wherein the screen is a screen of a Web-TV (See Kikinis Fig. 1 and Col. 5 lines 28-67 Col. 6 lines 1-32).
- 16. Regarding claim **36**, Kikinis modified with Maa teaches wherein the URL and channel data are displayed in response to activation of the web-surfing button (See discussion of claim 21).
- 17. Regarding claim **37**, Kikinis modified with Maa further teaches controlling display of the information accessed from the network address (See Kikinis Col. 8 lines 1-22).
- 18. Regarding claim **38**, Kikinis modified with Maa further teaches wherein the accessed information includes at least on of price information, product appearance information, a name, and a product code (See Kikinis Col. 2 lines 36-44, Col. 8 lines 23-37 The model name of a car is both a product code and a name).
- 19. Regarding claim **39**, Kikinis modified with Maa teaches wherein controlling includes: controlling the screen to simultaneously display the URL and an infra-coded picture of the channel data in the window (See discussion of claim 21 and Kikinis Fig. 3A, Col. 9 lines 24-53, Col. 10 lines 5-17 MPEG frames are an infra-coded picture).
- 20. Regarding claim **61**, Kikinis teaches a controller for a Web-TV, comprising: a network interface which receives data streams of program information and product information containing at least one URL (See Fig. 1 Decoder/Tuner 13 and Col. 5 lines 28-48 The Decoder/Tuner is an interface to a cable network); a memory for storing the product information (See Fig. 1 Col. 6 lines 1-12 and Col. 9 lines 29-35); a frame composer which forms an item selection video frame for allowing viewer selection of a product associated with the URL (See Fig. 1 MPEG 25 and Col. 42-55); and a control

circuit which controls a screen to simultaneously display the program information and URL, and to process a user-initiated signal indicating selection of the URL, wherein the network interface accesses web-page data associated with the selected URL and the control circuit controls display of the Web-page data on the screen (See Fig. 1 CPU 19. Fig. 3A, Abstract, Col. 5 lines 34-41, and Col. 9 lines 28-67, Col. 10 lines 1-17). Kikinis fails to disclose the URL and either an icon image or a still image being displayed in a window in response to activation of a web-surfing button. However, in a similar endeavor, Maa teaches where a table displaying URLs and text descriptions of URLs are displayed in response to the user pressing a button (See Fig. 3 and Col. 6 lines 7-52). Thus, in view of the teaches of Maa, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time the invention was made to modify Kikinis to have a URL and information relating to the URL being displayed in a window in response to activation of a web-surfing button so that a user could access URLs without typing the address (See Maa Col. 7 lines 43-50). Kikinis modified with Maa above differs from the claimed invention in that text descriptions are displayed in Maa's window and not images. However, Kikinis also teaches using icons to represent information that can be associated with a URL (See Fig. 2A 57 BMW emblem and Col. 7 lines 48-56). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to display a URL and either an icon image or a still image being in a window since icons can be identified easily be users.

Application/Control Number: 09/832,870

Art Unit: 2617

21. Regarding claim **62**, Kikinis modified with Maa teaches wherein the data streams are broadcast in a multi-casting format (See Kikinis Col. 3 lines 32-40 A TV broadcast is a multi-casting format).

Page 8

- 1. Regarding claim **65**, Kikinis modified with Maa teaches wherein the control circuit simultaneously displays the URL and one of the still image or an infra-coded picture of the program information (See Discussion of claim 61 and Kikinis Fig. 3A, Col. 9 lines 24-53, Col. 10 lines 5-17 MPEG frames are an infra-coded picture).
- 22. Claims **40-60** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kikinis in view of Inoue et al. (US 6,467,093) and further in view of Maa.
- 23. Regarding claim **40**, Kikinis teaches a television controller, comprising: a data separator which separates a digital signal into channel data and service data including product information containing at least one URL (See Fig. 1 Decoder Tuner 13, and MPEG 25, Fig. 2C, Fig. 3A, Abstract, Col. 3 lines 10-23, Col. 5 lines 42-55, Col. 6 lines 50-63, Col. 9 lines 24-67, Col. 10 lines 1-17); a control circuit which controls a television screen to simultaneously display the channel data and URL, and to process a user-initiated signal indicating selection of the URL (See Fig. 1 CPU 19, Fig. 3A Step 105 and Col. 5 lines 27-67, Col. 6 lines 1-31, Col. 9 lines 24-67, Col. 10 line 1-17); and a network interface which accesses information from a network address corresponding to the URL, wherein the URL corresponds to a product appearing in the displayed channel data (See Fig. 1 Modem 35, ISDN 39, and Abstract, Col. 5 lines 42-55, Col. 6 lines 50-63). Kikinis fails to disclose where the digital signal comprises product information and other forms of service data and thus does not disclose a section filter which separates

the product information from other forms of service data. However, Kikinis does teach where product information is packaged in an MPEG2 transport stream with product information in a separate data region than frame data (See Col. 5 lines 42-55, Col. 7 lines 18-46). It is well known in the art that an MPEG transport stream can have many different types of service data which are in separate data regions from frame data and that these different types of data can be separated by a section filter as taught by Inoue (See Fig. 2, Fig. 3 and Col. 7 lines 54-67, Col. 8 lines 1-45). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kikinis' television controller so that it included a section filter as taught by Inoue so that different types of services could be provided to the user (See Col. 7 lines 59-67). Kikinis modified with Inoue fails to disclose the URL and either an icon image or a still image being displayed in a window in response to activation of a web-surfing button. However, in a similar endeavor, Maa teaches where a table displaying URLs and text descriptions of URLs are displayed in response to the user pressing a button (See Fig. 3 and Col. 6 lines 7-52). Thus, in view of the teaches of Maa, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time the invention was made to further modify Kikinis to have a URL and information relating to the URL being displayed in a window in response to activation of a web-surfing button so that a user could access URLs without typing the address (See Maa Col. 7 lines 43-50). Kikinis further modified with Maa above differs from the claimed invention in that text descriptions are displayed in Maa's window and not images. However, Kikinis also teaches using icons to represent information that can be associated with a URL (See Fig. 2A 57 BMW emblem

and Col. 7 lines 48-56). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to display a URL and either an icon image or a still image being in a window since icons can be identified easily be users.

- 24. Regarding claim **41**, Kikinis modified with Inoue modified with Maa teaches wherein the product information is in a packet which includes: a payload including the URL; and a header containing an identifier indicating that the packet is carrying product information (See Kikinis Col. 5 lines 42-55, Col. 7 lines 18-27, Col. 10 lines 33-38 Product information is recorded in a separate data region of the transport stream from video data. In an MPEG-2 transport stream these separate data regions would be different types streams. Streams are made up of packets. A payload including the URL and a header containing an identifier (PID) would be inherent to an MPEG-2 packet containing URL information. See Inoue Fig. 2 Col. 7 lines 54-67, Col. 8 lines 1-45).
- 25. Regarding claim **42**, Kikinis modified with Inoue modified with Maa teaches wherein the section filter separates the product information from other types of information based on the identifier included in the header (See Inoue Col. 7 lines 54-67, Col. 8 lines 1-45).
- 26. Regarding claim **43**, Kikinis modified with Inoue modified with Maa teaches wherein said other information includes at least one of electronic program guide information and program specific information (See Inoue Fig. 2 and Col. 7 lines 54-67, Col. 8 lines 1-45).
- 27. Regarding claim **44**, Kikinis modified with Inoue modified with Maa teaches wherein the digital signal includes a time code for synchronizing display of the URL with

the channel data (See Kikinis Col. 9 lines 29-36 Tags linking URLs to images are a time code).

- 28. Regarding claim **45**, Kikinis modified with Inoue modified with Maa teaches wherein the product information is included in a service information field of the digital signal (See Inoue Fig. 2 and Col. 7 lines 54-67, Col. 8 lines 1-45).
- 29. Regarding claim **46**, Kikinis modified with Inoue modified with Maa further teaches a memory for storing the product information separately from the channel data (See Kikinis Col. 9 lines 29-63).
- 30. Regarding claim **47**, Kikinis modified with Inoue modified with Maa teaches wherein the control circuit controls the screen to simultaneously display the URL and the still image of the channel data in the window (See discussion of claim 40).
- Regarding claim **48**, Kikinis modified with Inoue modified with Maa further teaches the controller comprising a graphics generator which generates a pointer, wherein the control circuit displays the URL in association with the pointer which points to a product shown as the still image (See Kikinis Fig. 1 VGA 35 Fig. 2C Cursor 70 Col. 5 lines 27-67, Col. 6 lines 1-31, Col. 8 lines 1-22 The cursor is a pointer which the user uses to point to a product shown in the still frame).
- 32. Regarding claim **49**, Kikinis modified with Inoue modified with Maa teaches wherein the control circuit controls the display of the URL in association with a product which is highlighted as the still image (See Kikinis Col. 5 lines 18-27).

Page 12

- 33. Regarding claim **50**, Kikinis modified with Inoue modified with Maa teaches wherein the control circuit controls the screen to display an image with the URL and channel data (See Kikinis Fig. 2A 57 BMW emblem and Col. 7 lines 48-56).
- 34. Regarding claim **51**, Kikinis modified with Inoue modified with Maa teaches wherein the image bears a resemblance to a product associated with the URL (See Kikinis Fig. 2A 57 BMW emblem and Col. 7 lines 48-56).
- 35. Regarding claim **52**, Kikinis modified with Inoue modified with Maa teaches wherein the image includes an icon associated with the product (See Kikinis Fig. 2A 57 BMW emblem and Col. 7 lines 48-56).
- Regarding claim **53**, Kikinis modified with Inoue modified with Maa teaches wherein the control circuit controls the screen to simultaneously display a plurality of URLs and a plurality of either images or still images in the window, wherein each of the URLs and each of the icon images or still images corresponds to a different product appearing in the displayed channel data (See discussion of claim 41 and Kikinis Col. 6 lines 50-63, Col. 7 lines 10-17, Col. 9 lines 29-36).
- 37. Regarding claim **54**, Kikinis modified with Inoue modified with Maa teaches wherein the URLs are displayed in a scrollable list over the channel data (See Kikinis Fig. 2C Window 71 Col. 8 lines 1-22).
- 38. Regarding claim **55**, Kikinis modified with Inoue modified with Maa teaches wherein the product information includes a product code which is displayed in association with the URL and the channel data (See Kikinis Fig. 2C Emblem 57 and Col. 6 lines 50-63 An emblem is a product code: a symbol that represents a product).

- 39. Regarding claim **56**, Kikinis modified with Inoue modified with Maa teaches wherein the URL and channel data are displayed in response to activation of the websurfing button (See Discussion of claim 41).
- 40. Regarding claim **57**, Kikinis modified with Inoue modified with Maa teaches wherein the control unit controls display of the information accessed from the network address through the network interface (See Kikinis Col. 8 lines 1-22).
- 41. Regarding claim **58**, Kikinis modified with Inoue modified with Maa teaches wherein the accessed information includes at least one of price information, product appearance information, a name, and a product code (See Kikinis Col. 2 lines 36-44, Col. 8 lines 23-37 The model name of a car is both a product code and a name).
- 42. Regarding claim **59**, Kikinis modified with Inoue modified with Maa teaches wherein the control unit controls the screen to simultaneously display the URL and an infra-coded picture of the channel data (See Kikinis Fig. 3A, Col. 9 lines 24-53, Col. 10 lines 5-17 MPEG frames are an infra-coded picture).
- 43. Regarding claim **60**, Kikinis modified with Inoue modified with Maa further teaches the controller comprising: a frame composer which forms an item selection video frame for allowing viewer selection of a product associated with the URL (See Kikinis Fig. 1, VGA 33, Fig. 3A Steps 99,105 and Col. 5 lines 27-67, Col. 6 lines 1-32 Col. 9 lines 29-67 and Col. 10 lines 1-38).
- 44. Claim **63** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kikinis in view of Maa and further in view of Rangan et al. (US 6,154,771).

- 45. Regarding claim **63**, Kikinis modified with Maa fails to disclose wherein the data streams are broadcast in a uni-casting format. However, Kikinis does disclose that his invention is broad enough to encompass any means of providing TV signals for display (See Col. 11 lines 51-55) and that his set-top box has a network interface module (See Col. 5 lines 55-67). Providing TV signals to a set-top box in a uni-cast format through a network interface module is well known in the as taught by Rangan (See Col. 24 lines 38-65 Video on Demand is a uni-cast format). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify Kikinis' system so that TV signals were provided in a uni-casting format as taught by Rangan to each individual subscriber could access content independently of other users.
- 46. Claim **64** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kikinis in view Maa and further in view of Portuesi (US 5,774,666).
- 47. Regarding claim **64**, Kikinis modified with Maa fails to disclose wherein, when the data streams omit direct explicit information linking the program and product information, the control circuit generates explicit linking information from implicit timing information to allow the program and product information to be simultaneously displayed on the screen. In a similar endeavor, Portuesi teaches video data streams and URLs each occupying different tracks in a movie file and that the URL tracks contain timing information specifying when each URL is to be displayed and that a playback device interprets the timing information and generates video (explicit linking information) to allow program and product information to be displayed simultaneously on the screen

(See Col. 4 lines 47-67 and Col. 5 lines 1-59 Col. 7 Table 2, Col. 9 lines 26-27). In this set up there is no explicit linking information in the URL track (See Cols 7 and 8 Tables showing information contained in URL track) and URLs and images are shown simultaneously (See Fig. 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify Kikinis to have the data stream omit explicit linking information and have program and product information displayed simultaneously based on an implied relationship as taught by Portuesi to reduce the amount of bits in the data stream and thus conserve bandwidth required to transmitted product information (See Kikinis Col. 9 lines 29-35).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamieson W. Fish whose telephone number is 571-272-7307. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on 571-272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/832,870

Art Unit: 2617

Page 16

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JF 1-03-2006

CHRIS KELLEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600